

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/839,726	STRUHSAKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erin M. File	2611	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Erin M. File.

(3) _____

(2) Daniel Venglarik, Reg. No. 39,409.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 April 2006

Time: 10:35 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

6

Claims discussed:

1,6,7

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 6,088,593

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that reference has been found covering subject matter previously indicated allowable material in Claim 6. Attorney proposed further limitation to make this claim allowable. Examiner further asked for changes for claim informalities in claims 1, 6, and 7, which were found agreeable to the attorney.